

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,279	06/23/2003	Steven Gerard Mayorga	06223P USA	9141	
23543 7	590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER		
AIR PRODU	CTS AND CHEMIC	MOORE, MARGARET G			
PATENT DEPARTMENT					
7201 HAMILTON BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALLENTOWN	I, PA 181951501		1712		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		2	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/602,279	MAYORGA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Margaret G. Moore	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It imely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice. 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 to 14 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Claim(s) 1. The oath or declaration is objected to by the correction is objected to by the Claim(s) are subject to restriction and is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the	rawn from consideration. bwed. d/or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	 -	(77.0.4.6)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/602,279

Art Unit: 1712

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 9/14/05 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 6,858,697 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

- 2. Applicants do correctly note that US 10/650,282 has neither a common inventor nor a common assignee as the instant application. Thus no double patenting rejection is proper. Also, the parent applications for this CIP application do not teach the addition of a free radical polymerization inhibitor and thus this subject matter does not have the benefit of an earlier filing date. The Examiner apologizes for making this improper rejection.
- 3. Claims 4 to 7, 10, 11, 13 and 14 are allowed. None of these claims are taught or suggested by the prior art and have been properly disclaimed over 6,858,697. Similarly claims 2 and 3 are objected. Note the reasons for allowance in 10/029,892. Applicants' amendment, however, has raised the following new ground of rejection.
- 4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 1, 8, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 28, 6, 7 and 25, respectively, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,858,697. This is a double patenting rejection. While the exact wording is slightly different in these sets of claims, when the claims of an application are not the "same" as

Application/Control Number: 10/602,279 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

those of a first patent, but the grant of a patent with the claims in the application would unjustly extend the rights granted by the first patent, a double patenting rejection under nonstatutory grounds is proper.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,279

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Margaret 5. Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 17/2

mgm 11/28/05